



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/733,553   | 12/11/2003  | Wai T. Lam           | 34826-1014          | 7752             |
| 7590<br>Kaye Scholer LLP<br>425 Park Avenue<br>New York, NY 10022-3598 |             |                      |                     |                  |
| 03/17/2008   |             |                      |                     |                  |
| EXAMINER   |             |                      |                     |                  |
| PATEL, HETUL B   |             |                      |                     |                  |
| ART UNIT   |             | PAPER NUMBER         |                     |                  |
| 2186   |             |                      |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE  |             | DELIVERY MODE        |                     |                  |
| 03/17/2008   |             | PAPER                |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/733,553

**Applicant(s)**

LAM ET AL.

**Examiner**

HETUL PATEL

**Art Unit**

2186

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HETUL PATEL.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Janathan Tyler (Reg. No: 52,308).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 07 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Klein et al. (USPN: 5,873,101).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During phone conversation, Attorney presented the proposed amendment to claim 1 and pointed out how it overcomes the Klein prior art. Examiner suggested Attorney to submit the amendment to USPTO in the next official response. Examiner would have to do further search/consideration to determine the patentability of the claim(s). No agreement was reached during this phone conversation.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hetul Patel/  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 2186

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required